

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)	
v.)	
ANTONIO DEMOND BYERS)	Case No: <u>3:01CR00002-003</u>
Date of Previous Judgment: <u>1/30/2002</u>)	USM No: <u>16523-058</u>
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable))	<u>Randolph Lee</u>
)	Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: <u>40</u>	Amended Offense Level: <u>40</u>
Criminal History Category: <u>IV</u>	Criminal History Category: <u>IV</u>
Previous Guideline Range: <u>360</u> to <u>life</u> months	Amended Guideline Range: <u>360</u> to <u>life</u> months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☒ Other (explain): No reduction. The guideline calculations in this case were controlled by a cross-reference to USSG §2A1.1, not on the drug amounts. Therefore, Amendment 706 has no impact on the guideline calculations or sentence in this case.

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS


The defendant is also serving a consecutive sentence of 120 months in Count Five for a conviction of 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c)(1) and 924(j).

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 1/30/2002 shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: July 21, 2009

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)



Frank D. Whitney
United States District Judge

